

Date: Friday, December 16 2011 06:41 PM
Subject: Approach Summary
From: Christina Galbraith <[REDACTED]>
To: Jeffrey Epstein <jeevacation@gmail.com>;
Attachments: Picasso Overview_pdf.html; Untitled attachment 63876.htm; RepDef-Process-Deck_pdf.html; Untitled attachment 63879.htm; company-overview_pdf.html; Untitled attachment 63882.htm

1. Optimizing good information to push down the negative: using new content (blog, websites, press releases, public network profiles, google images, etc) and search engine optimization. Changing the Wikipedia profile. Notes Wikipedia below.
2. *Eliminating* the bad information using prevalence and proprietary algorithms; redirecting the way in which Google sequences your information (reassociating it with the positive content). This is called off-page optimization.
3. Eliminating personal information (social sec, tel #'s, dob, addresses etc) from all public and private directories.

COMMENTS:

Step 2 is *critical* otherwise one is just bailing water from an ongoing flood of negative press. By realigning the algorithms (i.e. reinforcing positive associations with your name and weakening the negative associations), one almost permanently seals up the water hole or at least closes it significantly. This allows for Step 1 to be a onetime project (which will take 2 to 4 months approx.), with just tweaking here and there down the road with updated content.

BEST PEOPLE TO USE:

You need people who eat, drink and sleep algorithms and search engine optimization. I've researched several companies and the most skilled, discrete, award winning and comprehensive is a company called, www.reputation.com based in Silicon Valley. See their attached docs for review. Below are bulleted key points on them:

Lookup Page just creates web pages and will not solve your problem.

I would serve as a liaison between you and Reputation. I would monitor their progress and provide them with all positive content that they need. They would make sure that the content has maximum algorithmic potential. You could keep your positive content simple (using you Edge.org summary which is good), or expand your content with updates on current science work and scientists. The benefit of the former, is that it keeps you more anonymous. The benefit of the latter is that is algorithmically associates you to a larger pool of known scientists, further pushing up positive content. My advice would be to combine the two: a simple repetitive bio summary of you but with a larger list of scientists added on. But I would ask the Reputation team about this: saying that the main goal is to enhance anonymity and algorithmic associations at the same time.

WHY REPUTATION (in no particular order)

- Represents numerous fortune 500 companies
- clients in more than 100 countries
- based in Silicon Valley
- Featured on CNN, WSJ, Forbes, NY Times etc
- Undisputed world leader in online reputation management
- Prevalence and proprietary algorithms to drive positive search results to the top.
- Intimate knowledge of search engine optimization.
- 200+ employees
- Patented Internet technology

- Partnered with: American Medical Assoc. Am Bar Assoc, Aol, etc
- \$67 million in venture capital to date.
- CEO is Michael Fertik

CEO and Founder

Michael Fertik founded Reputation.com in 2006 with the belief that people have the right to control and protect their online reputation and privacy. In addition to his work with Reputation.com, Michael holds a position on the advisory board of The Internet Keepsafe Coalition (iKeepSafe), a nonprofit that works to protect the health and safety of youth online. Michael is regarded as one of the earliest pioneers of online reputation management and a leading expert on issues of online privacy. He co-authored the book *Wild West 2.0* and lectures internationally, appearing before professional and academic audiences. Michael also advises school administrators and parent groups. Prior to founding Reputation.com, Michael clerked for Chief Judge Danny J. Boggs of the Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals of the United States. He is a graduate of Harvard College and Harvard Law School, speaks several languages, and enjoys sailing, reading, and running.

TIME FRAME & FEES

Their “Picasso” Tech services described in step 2, will take approx. 3 months to eliminate bad press from the 1st and 2nd page. The whole process will take about a year to be solidified. Fees will be approx. \$10k - \$15k per month but depends on each case and costs can be kept down by providing content and selecting only truly necessary services.

WIKIPEDIA

This is a tough nut to crack. And I need to do more research on this. Wiki comes up first on the Google list due to its powerful domain and contains totally lopsided and damning content on you.

But we’ll crack it. On the surface, Wiki is controlled by a morass of copyediting geeks who have nothing better to do than to discuss reference tags etc. It is also ‘the people’s’ encyclopedia, dictated by the tyranny of the majority—so no objectivity at all.

Current Strategy— 1. The Reputation group have a copyright team dedicated to balancing the content on Wikipedia and gradually sectioning the bad stuff down to sub categories in the profile. They don’t seem to be able to eliminate the bad though. 2. So, I think it’s worth seeing how people like Prince Andrew managed to create an absolutely stellar profile—or Bill Clinton for that matter. No mention of his impeachment or lying to the Grand Jury. It barely touches on Lewinsky etc. 3. There are also protected pages on Wiki but I need more time and research.

WEBSITE

You need to update your website immediately by adding the bio on you from The Edge if you can and adding a longer summary of science and scientists that you are/have worked with.