
From: Lawrence Krauss [REDACTED]
Sent: 9/10/2015 8:08:32 PM
To: Noam Chomsky [REDACTED]
CC: jeffrey E. [jeevacation@gmail.com]; [REDACTED]
Subject: Re: an article you may both hate. or like.
Attachments: DA866543-7401-4A5A-8E50-FD32E33A50EC.png

Importance: High

Yup.

Lawrence M. Krauss

Director, The Origins Project at ASU

Foundation Professor

School of Earth & Space Exploration and Physics Department

Arizona State University, [P.O. Box 871404, Tempe, AZ 85287-1404](#)

Research Office: [REDACTED] Assistant [REDACTED]

Origins Office [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

origins.asu.edu | twitter.com/lkrauss1 | krauss.faculty.asu.edu



Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 10, 2015, at 12:09 PM, Noam Chomsky <[REDACTED]> wrote:

I quite agree. And I'm pretty sure Lawrence does too.

From: jeffrey E. [<mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com>]

Sent: Thursday, September 10, 2015 3:02 PM

To: Noam Chomsky <[REDACTED]>

Cc: Lawrence Krauss [REDACTED]

Subject: Re: an article you may both hate. or like.

I think religion plays a major positive role in many lives. . i dont like fanaticism on either side. . sorry

On Thu, Sep 10, 2015 at 7:52 PM, Noam Chomsky <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Thanks for sending. A wide area of agreement, but not total.

On confronting dogma, I of course agree – though in my opinion the secular religions – nationalist fanaticism, etc. – are much more dangerous. And if some find rational discussion offensive – as, for example, mainstream academics find dismantling myths of “American exceptionalism” or “Israeli self-defense” or Obama’s mass murder campaign, etc., offensive – so be it.

But I don’t see why that should extend to ridicule. That includes astrologists. Astronomers can refute astrology, while recognizing that perfectly honest and deluded people may believe it and should be treated with respect, while their beliefs are confronted with evidence. I also don’t see why we should ridicule religious dogma, just as I don’t think we should ridicule the much more pernicious secular dogmas. Rather, we should respond to irrational belief with

argument and evidence, while recognizing that their advocates (like most of the intellectual world in the case of secular dogma) are people who we should be responding to but without ridiculing them. It may be hard sometimes. For example, when the icon and founding father of sober non-sentimental Realism in International Affairs informs us that the US, unlike other countries, has a “transcendental purpose,” and the fact that it constantly acts in contradiction to its purpose doesn’t matter because the facts are just “abuse of history” while real history is “the evidence of history as our minds reflect it,” then it’s hard to avoid ridicule. But we should. There’s no point ridiculing virtually the entire IR profession and the major journals, even though such extraordinary irrationality leads to major human disasters.

On Davis, I frankly think that’s a non-issue. If she decides she cannot do her job as the conditions of employment require (including following the law), then she can quit and look for another job. As in any other such case.

Noam

From: Lawrence Krauss [mailto:]
Sent: Thursday, September 10, 2015 1:51 AM
To: Noam Chomsky < >; jeffrey E. <jeevacation@gmail.com>
Subject: an article you may both hate. or like.

hope all is well.

Lawrence

<http://www.newyorker.com/news/news-desk/all-scientists-should-be-militant-atheists>

Lawrence M. Krauss
Director, The Origins Project at ASU
Co-Director, Cosmology Initiative
Foundation Professor
School of Earth & Space Exploration and Physics Department
Arizona State University, P.O. Box 871404, Tempe, AZ 85287-1404
Research Office: Assistant
Origins Office

origins.asu.edu | twitter.com/lkrauss1 | krauss.faculty.asu.edu

<image001.png>

--

please note

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of JEE

Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to jeevacation@gmail.com, and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments. copyright -all rights reserved